

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/759,898		01/16/2004	Fateme Sima Sariaslani	CL2035USNA	1246	
23906	7590	10/19/2006		EXAMINER		
		NEMOURS AND C	FRONDA, CHRISTIAN L			
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANCASTER PIKE				1652		
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/759,898	SARIASLANI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christian L. Fronda	1652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status .			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,11,12 and 14 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 14 is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-9,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
	•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/759,898 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-9, 11, 12, and 14 are pending in the instant application. Claims 1 and 14 have been previously withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 2. Claims 2-9, 11, and 12 are under consideration in this Office Action.
- 3. The objection to the title has been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment to the title dated 07/28/2006.
- 4. The objection to claims 3-9, 11, and 12 has been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment to the claims filed 07/28/2006, where the amended claims now depend from claim 2.
- 5. The rejection of claims 2-9, 11, and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, has been withdrawn in view of applicants' arguments and amendments to the claims filed 07/28/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st Paragraph

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claims 2-9, 11, and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants' arguments filed 07/28/2006 have been fully considered but are not persuasive for reasons of record as further explained below.

The amended claims are drawn to a method comprising the use of a genus of *E. coli* yhcP genes and variants thereof, and a genus of *E. coli* yhcQ genes and variants thereof. The scope of each genus includes many members which have widely differing structural, chemical, and physiochemical properties including widely differing nucleotide sequences. Furthermore, each

Art Unit: 1652

genus is highly variable because a significant number of structural differences between genus members exists.

While the specification discloses the yhcP gene from *E.coli* as consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1 and the yhcQ gene from *E.coli* as consisting of SEQ ID NO: 2, the specification does not define any structural features, nucleotide sequences, and chemical characteristics commonly possessed by each genus. Thus, one skilled in the art cannot visualize or recognize the identity of the members of each genus for use in the claimed method. In contrast to the court of *Capon*, the instant invention is not drawn to chimeric polynucleotides encoding antibodies but instead is drawn to methods for making host cells that have increased resistant to aromatic carboxylic acids by transforming the host cells with different polynucleotides. Thus, the findings of the court of *Capon* do not apply to the instant invention.

In view of the above considerations, one of skill in the art would not recognize that applicants were in possession of each claimed genus of *E. coli* yhcP genes and variants thereof, and a genus of *E. coli* yhcQ genes and variants thereof for use in the claimed method.

As stated in the previous Office Action, gene elements which are not particularly described, including promoters, regulatory elements, and untranslated regions, are essential to the function of the claimed invention since the claims recite yhcP and yhcQ genes. The art indicates that the structure of genes with promoters, regulatory elements, and untranslated regions is empirically determined. Therefore, the structure of these elements which applicants considers as being essential to the function of the claim are not conventional in the art.

There is no known or disclosed correlation between the coding region of a polynucleotide encoding the efflux protein YhcP or YhcQ and the structure of the non-described promoter, regulatory elements, and untranslated regions of the yhcP gene or yhcQ gene, respectively.

In view of the above considerations, applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize Applicants were in possession of any yhcP gene and any yhcQ gene.

Amending the claims to recite that the claimed method comprises transforming *E.coli* host cells with the polynucleotide consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1 and the polynucleotide consisting of SEQ ID NO: 2 thereby increasing resistance of the *E.coli* host cell to the aromatic carboxylic acids para-hydroxybenzoic acid and para-hyroxycinnamic acid may overcome the rejection.

8. Claim 2-9, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method for increasing the resistance of a host cell to aromatic carboxylic acids comprising transforming and overexpressing in a host cell the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 1 and the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 2; does not reasonably provide enablement for such method comprising transforming and overexpressing in a host cell

Application/Control Number: 10/759,898 Page 4

Art Unit: 1652

any yhcP gene and any yhcQ gene of any nucleotide sequence and from any biological source. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicants' arguments filed 07/28/2006 have been fully considered but are not persuasive for reasons of record as supplemented below.

The nature and breadth of the amended claims encompasses any method for increasing the resistance of a host cell to aromatic carboxylic acids comprising transforming and overexpressing in a host cell any *E. coli* yhcP gene and variants thereof, and any *E. coli* yhcQ gene and variants thereof, where each of said any *E. coli* yhcP gene and any *E. coli* yhcQ gene is of any nucleotide sequence and structure.

Although the specification provides guidance for the yhcP gene from *E.coli* as consisting of the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 and the yhcQ gene from *E.coli* as consisting of the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, and a method for overexpressing said polynucleotides in an *E.coli* host cell thereby increasing resistance of the *E.coli* host cell to the aromatic carboxylic acids para-hydroxybenzoic acid and para-hyroxycinnamic acid, the specification does not provide guidance, prediction, and working examples for the claimed method using any *E. coli* yhcP gene and variants thereof, and any *E. coli* yhcQ gene and variants thereof, where each of said any *E. coli* yhcP gene and any *E. coli* yhcQ gene is of any nucleotide sequence and structure. Thus, an undue amount of trial and error experimentation must be preformed. Such experimentation involves determining whether over expressing *E. coli* yhcP gene and variants thereof, and any *E. coli* yhcQ gene and variants thereof in any host cell will result in the host cell having increased resistance to any aromatic carboxylic acid. General teaching regarding screening and searching for the claimed invention using assays taught in the specification is not guidance for making the claimed invention.

In view of the above considerations, the specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with the claims.

. Amending the claims to recite that the claimed method comprises transforming *E.coli* host cells with the polynucleotide consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1 and the polynucleotide consisting of SEQ ID NO: 2 thereby increasing resistance of the *E.coli* host cell to the aromatic carboxylic acids para-hydroxybenzoic acid and para-hyroxycinnamic acid may overcome the rejection.

Conclusion

9. No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1652

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian L Fronda whose telephone number is (571)272-0929. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday between 9:00AM 5:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura N Achutamurthy can be reached on (571)272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CLF

TEKCHÁND SAIDHA PRIMARY EXAMINER